

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/682,630	Applicant(s) POLICICCHIO, NICOLA JOHN	
	Examiner Theresa T Snider	Art Unit 1744	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Theresa T Snider.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mark Charles.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
objection of the drawings for the specification not having #430, as in figure 11
Claims discussed:
1, 3-7, 9-16 and 24-30
Prior art documents discussed:
N/A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Charles that claims 1, 3-7, 9-16 and 24-30 are in condition for allowance. Examiner pointed out to Mr. Charles that, in response to paragraph 10 of the Final Rejection, #430 had been deleted from figure 12 but was still present in figure 11. Mr. Charles this was an overcite and should also be deleted from figure 11, as will be indicated in an Examiner's Amdt..